

Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b), a federal court cannot grant a habeas petition unless the petitioner has exhausted the remedies available in the courts of the state in which he was convicted. Ultimately, exhaustion requires the petitioner to present his claims to the highest state court with jurisdiction to consider them and receive a ruling. *O’Sullivan v. Boerckel*, 526 U.S. 838, 845 (1999). If a § 2254

petitioner still has available state court proceedings in which he can litigate his habeas claims, a federal court should dismiss his § 2254 petition without prejudice to allow him to exhaust those state court remedies. *See Slayton v. Smith*, 404 U.S. 53, 54 (1971).

Ouellette's time for a direct appeal has expired. *See* Va. Sup. Ct. R. 5:9(a) (providing that notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days from entry of trial court judgment). For claims of denial of appeal or ineffective assistance of trial counsel such as Ouellette alleges, an inmate may file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus in the circuit court where he was sentenced, and if relief is denied, he may then file a habeas appeal to the Supreme Court of Virginia. *See* Va. Code Ann. §§ 8.01-654(A)(1), 17.1-406(B). In the alternative, he may file his initial state habeas corpus petition directly in the Supreme Court of Virginia, instead of in the circuit court. Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-654(A)(1). Whichever route he follows in exhausting state court habeas remedies, a petitioner must ultimately present his claims to the Supreme Court of Virginia and receive a ruling from that court before a federal district court can consider them on the merits under § 2254 or grant relief.

On the face of Ouellette's § 2254 petition, he states that he has not filed a habeas corpus petition in any state court since he was sentenced in the Lee County Circuit Court in April 2019. State court records available online also do not indicate that he has filed a habeas petition in any state court. Because state court

habeas corpus remedies remain available to him, he has not yet fulfilled the exhaustion requirement in 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b). For this reason, I must dismiss his § 2254 petition without prejudice to allow him to exhaust state court remedies.¹

A separate Final Order will be entered herewith.

DATED: June 17, 2019

/s/ James P. Jones
United States District Judge

¹ Ouellette is advised that the time to file a state court habeas petition is limited under state law. If he is dissatisfied with the outcome after he has exhausted available state court remedies, by receiving a ruling from the Supreme Court of Virginia on his habeas claims, he may file another § 2254 petition at that time.